

# Hybrid approach for aeroacoustic prediction in confined flows

C. Schram \*

4 December 2007

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Aeroacoustical analogy</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Lighthill's analogy . . . . .	5
2.2	Curle's analogy . . . . .	10
2.3	Ffowes Williams & Hawkings analogy . . . . .	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Numerical acoustics</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Problem statement and BC's . . . . .	15
3.1.1	Finite Element Method . . . . .	16
3.1.2	Boundary Element Method: direct and indirect formulations . . . . .	17
<b>4</b>	<b>BE implementation of Curle's analogy</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1	BI formulation . . . . .	21
4.2	Validation benchmark . . . . .	25
4.2.1	Tailored Green's function . . . . .	27
4.2.2	Validation . . . . .	28
4.2.3	Sampling and integration of the dipoles and quadrupoles . . . . .	29
4.2.4	Non-reflecting boundary conditions . . . . .	29
4.2.5	Sound emitted by the ducted leapfrogging . . . . .	30
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>35</b>

---

\*Dr, Aeroacoustics Project Leader, LMS International